



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Awei Subcounty

Alebtong District

(Vote Code: 804)

Assessment	Scores
LLG Performance Assessment	70%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>Evidence of composition of the PDCs by list of PDCs for all parishes of Acede, Owalo, Ojul and Olyet</p> <p>Minutes of PDCs dated 5/7/2022, 8/6/2022</p> <p>list of proposals submitted for revolving fund were as follows;</p> <p>Acede -17 enterprises; Ojul -18 enterprises, Owalo-18 enterprises and Olyet-19 enterprises.</p> <p>report on field mobilisation on PDM seen</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>There is evidence of submission to SAS and updated and dis aggregated by village, gender, economic activity , social services and einfrastructures</p>	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>There is mapping report of different partners and evidence of involvement in awareness on PDM as indicated by the attendance list of mobilisation and sentisation.</p>	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>investments on the approved workplan and budget are drawn from the list of proposals submitted by the parishes and also report of planning meeting indicated their participation</p>	2
---	---	---	---	---

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>report on planning meetings and list of priority enterprises</p>	2
---	---	---	---	---

B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>there is consistency between the budget and approved SDPIII. for instance construction of 2 stance VIP latrine at ingwenya market on page 121; Processing of land title and physical planning of ingwenya market land on page 121; Rehabilitation of community Access road on page 121</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>The investments on the workplans and budget are drawn from the list of ranked priorities from parishes</p>	1

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The investments on the budget/workplan are in the budget conference report</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The budget has investments to be financed by the OSR</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>project profiles developed for rehabilitation of community access road and construction of 2 stance latrines at ingwenya market</p>	1
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Annual workplan and budget submitted to Planning Department on 10th May 2022</p>	1
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>Procurement plans not submitted as there were no projects above the thresholds</p>	0

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	prioritized investments in the budget comply with DDEG grant guidelines	2
	Maximum score is 2			

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	the LLG collected 92% of the budgeted OSR	1
	Maximum score is 1			
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	Collected OSR FY 2021/2022-4,250,000 Collected OSR FY 2020/2021- 3,780,000 this indicates an increment of 12.4%	1
	Maximum score 1			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	OSR remitted to administrative units through projects but there was evidence of 35% remittance to the LG	0
	Maximum score 4			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent less than 20% from OSR but used other sources to top up council allowances	1
	Maximum score 4			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The LLG spent 1,075,000 (25%) on operation and maintenance	1
	Maximum score 4			

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	The LLG publicised utilisation of OSR on the notice board	1
	Maximum score 4			

D. Financial Management

10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	AFS submitted to OAG on 30th August 2022	4
	Maximum score is 4			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0	LLG Budget report submitted on 10th October 2021	1
	Maximum score is 6			
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0	LLG Budget report submitted on 13th Jan 2022	1
	Maximum score is 6			

11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0	LLG Budget report submitted on 15th April 2022	1
11	The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0	LLG Budget report submitted on 25th July 2022	3

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	staff list indicates 14 staff and all were appraised	2
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	head teachers from 7 schools are not yet appraised	0
12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	Incharge for Awei HCIII already appraised	2

13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	staff list publicised on the notice board	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	Monthly analysis of staff attendance not submitted to CAO's office	0

F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	Evidence of completion certificates on payment of eligible projects	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	No evidence of deviation from the budget as all planned projects were all paid as required	2
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) : If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	100% of projects were completed by the end of the FY	3

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	No evidence of environmental , social and climate change screening was done	0
----	--	--	---	----------

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	No specified system of grievances handling.	0
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System Maximum score is 2	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No evidence of publication of redress mechanism.	0
19	The LLG has a functional land management system Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	Evidence of Area Land Committee constituted but there is no minutes of the Committee	0

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	Report on meeting with parents on land wrangles dated 18/5/2022; emergency meeting at Adyang Pls dated 26/5/2022	3
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	reports of monitoring but not in all the 3 terms; minutes of general purpose committee dated 10/5/2022	1

22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	minutes of SMC meetings dated 11/6/2022 at oyengolwedo P/s and on 15/5/2022 at Ogogoro Pls	3
	Maximum score is 3			

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	No evidence of awareness creation and mobilisation on PHC	0
	Maximum score is 3			

24	The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	Monitoring report of the Awei HCIII dated 18/4/2022	4
	Maximum score is 4			

25	Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee	Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	Evidence of functionality by HUMC meeting minutes dated 31/3/2022 and 2/12/2021 electing 5 members with Okwir Fazil as Chairperson HUMC	3
	Maximum score is 3			

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	No evidence of requesting water sources from the DWO	0
	Maximum score is 3			

27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	Evidence of monitoring of Water and environment service by report dated 13/9/2021 and 28/6/2022	3
	Maximum score is 3			
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	No evidence of existence of WSC	0
	Maximum score is 2			
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	Evidence of updated data by water and sanitation status report.	2
	Maximum score is 2			

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	
	Maximum score 2		
30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:	
	Maximum score 2		
	20% in 2022/23		
	30% in 2023/24		
	40% in 2024/25		

31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 3	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score 2	
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0
	Maximum score is 3	

- 33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
Maximum score is 3
- (ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0
- 33 Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure
Maximum score is 3
- (iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

L. Production Services Management

- 34 Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported
Maximum score is 2
- If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.
- No comprehensive production statistics for the LLG **0**
- 35 Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings
Maximum score is 2
- If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0
- No awareness reports **0**
- 36 The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries
Maximum score is 2
- If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0
- No evidence of monthly monitoring. **0**

37	Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	There is evidence of training conducted by the extension worker by reports on the following; good animal husbandry management dated 22/3/2022, 17/6/2022	2
38	The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Evidence of extension support by report on maize demonstration plot established	2