

LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Akura Subcounty

Alebtong District

(Vote Code: 804)

Assessment

Scores

LLG Performance Assessment 90%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score			
A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures							
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	PDM guidelines seen by the assessment team. All the 6 parishes of Akura, Anyanga, Otweotoke,anyanga B,kai and Bardago have PDCs comprising of 7 members each. the PDCs have minutes of meetings; Akura met on 5th April 2022, Anyanga B 13th April 2022, Bardago held meeting on 8th April 2022, Kai met on 12th April 2022, Anyanga 6th April2022 and Otweotoke on14th April 2022.	2			
			All PDCs recieved a list of proposal from enterprise groups;				
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	All parishes of Akura, Anyanga, Anyanga B, Bardago,Kai and Otweotoke were profiled and data dis-aggregated by village, gender, infrastructure, and social services and economic activity.	2			
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0	CASUL -SHR and livelihood CSBAG, with interventions on budget monitoring Rights North Lango CARITAS- disaster risk reduction PLAN Uganda	2			

2

3

guidance and Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

The LLG provided Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the information to the Village Executive Committees and activities to PDCs on:

> ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score

Annual workplans and budget were seen and indicate guidance on

guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish

Maximum score is 6

The LLG provided Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

> iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

Minutes of sensitisation on enterprise selection were seen. for Akura minutes on 15th March 2022, Anyanga held on 13th March 2022, Kai held on 17 march 2022, Otweotoke held on 5th March 2022, Bardago held on 17, march 2022 and Anyanga B held on 13th march 2022

B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

> i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

current FY budget approved on the 13th may 2022 and was consistent with approved

SDP III.

Road construction from Acela to Angatabir in otweotoke parish

Construction of latrine at Akura SS

4

The LLG Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

> ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish by the Parish Chief and PDC

Maximum score is Chairperson score 1 or else 0. 6

submissions which are duly signed

prioritised investments of all parishes were submitted to the sub county.

1

1

4 1 BC held on 22nd Nov 2021 and The LLG Evidence that prioritized conducted Annual investments in the LLG council priorities identified are in the current approved Annual Work plan and Planning and approved budget/AWP Budgeting Budget (AWPB) for the current exercise for the current FY as per iii. Is based on the outcomes of the Planning and the budget conference; score 1 or Budgeting else 0 Guidelines Maximum score is No evidence seen 1 iv. That the LLG budget include The LLG conducted Annual investments to be financed by the Planning and LLG score 1 or else 0 Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 No project profile seen 0 4 The LLG v. Evidence that the LLG conducted Annual developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP Planning and Budgeting and Budget as per format in NDP exercise for the III Score 1 or else score 0 current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines Maximum score is 6 4 AWPB submitted on 13th may 2022 1 The LLG vi. That the LLG budget was conducted Annual submitted to the District/Municipality/City before Planning and Budgeting 15th May: score 1 or else 0 exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and **Budgeting**

Guidelines

6

Maximum score is

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	procurement plan submitted on the 25th,april 2022	2
6	LLG budget to	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	Renovation of sub county Offices procurement of lap top computer	2
	Source Revenue M	lobilization and Administration		
7		Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/-10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	2021/2022 OSR budgeted was- 6,300,000	1
	(Budget realization)		2021/2022 OSR collected was- 5,700,000 (-9.5%)	
	Maximum score is 1			
8	Increase in LLG own source	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but	2020/2021 OSR collected was 9,700,000	0
	revenues from last financial year but one to last	one to previous FY by more than 5 ear %, score 1 or else score 0	2021/2022 OSR Collected was 5,700,000 -decrease by 41%	
	financial year. Maximum score 1			
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	No evidence of remitting the mandatory share seen	0

9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	more than 20% of OSR was spent on councilors allowances	0
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	Motor cycle maintenance spent 450,500	1
9 D. Finan	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	No evidence seen	0
10	The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	Submitted on 31st,August 2022	4

all four quarterly financial and submitted all 4 quarterly financial physical progress reports, for the

previous FY to the LG Accounting

Officer including on the funding progress reports including finances for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else

Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed

Maximum score is

and physical

for the Parish

Development

format

Appraisal of all Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk

> the previous FY (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the Maximum score is previous FY (by June 30th) - score 2 or else

appraised staff in the LLG:

13 Staff list publicised on noticeboard 3

Staff duty Evidence that the LLG has attendance

(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: Maximum score is score 3 or else 0

6

staff in the LLG in

Staff duty Evidence that the LLG has seen attendance (ii) Produced monthly analysis of Maximum score is staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0 F. Implementation and Execution 14 2 partial renovation of sub county The LLG has Evidence that the LLG budgeted spent all the and spent all the DDEG for the offices DDEG funds for previous FY on eligible projects/ supply of desk to Akura central the previous FY activities as per the DDEG grant, community school on eligible budget, and implementation projects/activities guidelines: Score 2, or else score Construction of latrine at Acekene school Maximum score is 2 2 15 there was no deviation Evidence that the execution of The LLG spent the funds as per budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the budget sectors/main programs by more Maximum score is than +/-10%: Score 2 16 All projects completed as planned 3 Completion of Evidence that the investment investments as projects planned in the previous per annual work FY were completed as per work plan and budget plan by end of FY (quarter four): Maximum score is If more than 90 % was completed: 3 Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0. G. Environmental and Social Safeguards 17 no evidence seen 0 The LLG has Evidence that the LLG carried out implemented environmental, social and climate environmental change screening where required, prior to implementation of all and social safeguards during planned investments/ projects, the previous FY score 2 or else score 0

Monthly staff attendance analysis

3

13

Maximum score is

2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate Maximum score is response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

Complain log book seen

defined complain refferal path

18 The LLG has an

> Operational Grievance Handling System

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress

Maximum score is score 1 or else 0

the redress grievance redress mechanism publicized

1

1

19 The LLG has a functional land

management system

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to

land, including ascertaining rights Maximum score 1 on the land score 1 or else 0

Area land committee functional with appointment letters

1

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20 Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services

conducted in last FY

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0

Awareness campaign conducted on 29/9/2022

3

Evidence that the LLG has Monitoring of Monitoring conducted in all schools service delivery in monitored schools at least once on17/12/2021,29/6/2022,15/3/2022 basic schools per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues Maximum score is requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 - 99% - score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0 22 List of school management 3 Evidence that the LLG have Existence and committee and their minutes seen functional school management functionality of committees in all schools; score 3, School Management else score 0 Committees Maximum score is I. Primary Health Care Services Management 23 Awareness raising and mobilisation 3 Evidence that the LLG has **Awareness** reports seen campaigns and conducted awareness campaigns mobilization on and mobilized communities for primary health improved primary health care care conducted in service delivery score 3, else last FY score 0 Maximum score is

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

Health service delivery monitored on 10/10/2021

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score

3, else score 0

list of HUMC For anyanga and akura health units seen and minutes with

action plans

Maximum score is

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26 LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY

budgets

Evidence that the Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score

No evidence of submission

0

3

Maximum score is

The LLG has monitored water and environment

services delivery during the previous FY

Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0

SAS Monitored on 17/8/2021,18/3/2022 3

2

2

Maximum score is

2

Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees

Maximum score is

Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score

water and sanitation committee exist with proper utilisation of contributions

29

28

27

Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities

Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0

SAS updated list of water and sanitation facilities on 19/9/2021

Maximum score is 2

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines (i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the

MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

30

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines (i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else

Maximum score 2

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or

Maximum score 3 else 0

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

31

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines (iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 3

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

32

The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan

(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0

Maximum score 2

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0

3

Maximum score is

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey

Maximum score is score 1 or else 0

3

33

Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure

(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is

3

L. Production Services Management

irrigated agriculture, agronomy,

operation and maintenance of

equipment, linkage to markets

field training sessions, reports

compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else

etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and

pests and diseases management,

farmer field

schools and

carried out

2

demonstrations

Maximum score is

0.

organized and

The LLG has provided handson extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to

Maximum score is LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

Farmer skill development on productivity enhancing technologies and 4 acre model initiative conducted on 5/3/2022